UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,318	01/04/2006	Jeremy Marshall	3003-1170	1342
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 03/30/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St		ANDERSON, MICHAEL J		
Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,318	MARSHALL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL J. ANDERSON	3767	

	WICHAEL J. ANDERSON	3707	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount or ortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia	ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. Π The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cons	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co	orresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	moopenaing number of finally reje	otod oldiirio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant / tinonamont (i	1 102 02-7.
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	wasie ii odsiiiitted iii a coparate, i	aniery med amendmen	it dandeling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-13</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but l	before or on the date of filing a Nic	stice of Annacl will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but a		condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kevin C. Sirmons/	/Michael J Anderson/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	Examiner Art Unit: 3767		

Continuation of 13. Other: The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. Claim 7 has several confusing requirements, for example "a plunger, the syringe being movable by said plunger, upon release of an actuating bias member at one end of the housing, to move the syringe,... the plunger having an end positioned within said container of the syringe", this sentence is not clear on how the plunger moves the syringe while within the container. Also for example, "a surrounding and gripping flexible O-ring carried by said plunger", it is not clear that the O-ring is surrounding and gripping the plunger. Claims 8-13 depend from claim 7 and are also rejected.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the syringe container" in lines 16, 19, 21 and 24. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant appears to use container and syringe container interchangeably throughout the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the syringe housing" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the syringe container" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.